



THE PAPUA NEW GUINEA
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

EXAMINATION REPORT FORM – MPhil

1. **DETAILS OF CANDIDATURE AND THESIS**

1.1 **NAME OF CANDIDATE:** -----

1.2 **DEPARTMENT:** -----

1.3 **DEGREE:**-----

1.4 **TITLE OF THE THESIS:** -----

2. **REPORT OF EXAMINER**

2.1 Does the work of the candidate constitute a contribution to learning and give evidence of systematic study and of ability to relate the results of such study to the general body of knowledge in the subject?

2.2 Does the candidate possess a satisfactory knowledge and understanding of existing studies relevant to the subject of his/her thesis and give evidence of sufficient experience in methods of research?

- 2.3 Does the thesis merit the award of the degree in that it is clearly written and well argued, clearly and concisely presented, shows a sound knowledge of both primary and secondary sources, and contains a full bibliography and, where appropriate, a description of methods and techniques used in research?

- 2.4 Concise statement as to why the Examiner is making the recommendation which follows: (Please continue a separate sheet if necessary)

3. RECOMMENDATION OF THE EXAMINER
(Please see note 5.4 below)

4. SIGNATURE

Name of Examiner:-----

Signature: -----

Date: -----

5. NOTES

- 5.1 The examiner report to the Higher Degree Committee through the Registrar
- 5.2 If the recommendations of the Examiners differ, the Committee may invite the Examiners to consult among themselves, or may otherwise take such steps as it considers appropriate with the object to resolving their differences
- 5.3 The Committee shall consider the report of the Examiners and proceed in accordance with the provisions of Rule 21(5) of the Rules for the Degree of Master of Philosophy
- 5.4 The examiner shall make one of the following recommendations in the section 3 of this form:
 - 5.4.1 that the Degree of MPhil be awarded; or
 - 5.4.2 that the Degree of MPhil be awarded subject to the candidate making minor corrections to his/her thesis subject to the satisfaction of the Head of the Department and the Principal Supervisor (where the corrections are not so substantial as to justify recommendation that the candidate should present a revised thesis);
 - 5.4.3 that the degree of MPhil be not awarded but the candidate be permitted to present a revised thesis and to present himself/herself for examination again on a subsequent occasion; or
 - 5.4.4 that the degree of MPhil be not awarded, and that the candidate be terminated
- 5.5 Where a candidate is permitted to present a revised thesis and to present himself/herself for examination again on a subsequent occasion, the arrangements and rules for re-examination shall be as if the candidate were being examined for the first time except that a candidate who has failed in a re-examination to satisfy the Examiner shall not be permitted to present himself/herself on a subsequent occasion.
- 5.6 The Registrar shall publish a list of successful candidates for the degree of MPhil